# Gampaign Document :: No. 4.

# Democratic-Conservative Executive Committee,

Decrease of the Wealth | consider more fortunate than himself; for OF MISSISSIPPI

UNDER RADICAL MISRULE.

Headquarters Democratic and Conservative State Executive Com-JACKSON, MISS., Oct. 8th, 1875.

That the present maladministration has produced the most wide spread destruction of the property and wealth of the State, and not only prevents all progress and improvement, but is surely and rapidly consuming all we have, and all that can be produced by the best energies of our people, is a truth which we all admit and feel; but it is nevertheless well to show this by actual figures, so that the extent and steady progress of this ruin may be clearly understood. Each individual citizen is conscious from his own experience that notwithstanding his best efforts, his greatest industry and his most parsimonious retrenchment, he is gradually but surely approaching bankruptcy. But he may think that others are more favored,—that the hard hand of poverty has not reached others, whom he may ruin. Disguise it as we may, the people

this reason also, it may be well to look at official docments.

It is now ten years since the war ended. and during all that time, it is safe to say, that no people ever worked with greater zeal or energy, or lived closer, and with fewer luxuries, than the people of Mississippi; and the result is that they have not only failed to accomplish any improvement in their condition, or to make any progress or advance, but they have, under a merciless system of taxs. tion, and a most dishonest, corrupt, and wasteful government, been growing poorer and poorer every day. This has been the result up to the present time, and during a period when our great ple, (cotton) has commanded in the markets of the world, a higher price than ever before; and the question now arises in every thoughtful mind, what are we to do in the future, when cotton has declined to its ante bellum price, and is every day getting lower? There must be relief, or there is certain

of Mississippi are poorer after all these of the State in 1870, as shown by the ten years of self-denial and hard labor than they were before. They are also on the verge of universal bankruptcy and

This has been brought about by Radical misrule, and all our lost wealth has been consumed in taxes, which are levied to pamper and fatten a worthless horde of adventurers, who have no interest in, or sympathy with, the fortunes of the people of the State.

The people of Mississippi are not drones nor idlers. They have shown their capacity to produce wealth, and to improve their fortunes; they have been energetic and economical; they have worked hard early and late; they have had no luxuries, barely the comforts of life; they possess a salubrious clime, a fertile soil, and have been engaged in raising the most profitable crops in the world. Yet the result is ruin.

Let us look at the figures:

In 1850, the total population of the State was 606,526, and the total value of the property of the State was \$228,-951,130, or \$377 per head. In 1860, the total population of the State was 791, 305, and the total wealth \$509,472, 912. In 1866, the total wealth of the State was \$161,151,207, and the total population about the same as in 1860, and the valuation of the property per head was a little over \$200,00. In 1875 the total wealth is \$119,123,008-about \$166 per head.

This shows a large increase in population between 1850 and 1860, but a still larger increase in wealth; the increase in population being 184,879, or about 13 per cent. for the ten years; the increase in wealth being \$280,521,782, or over 120 per cent.; the valuation of property in 1860 being at the rate of \$644 to each inhabitant. In the next decade, from 1860 to 1870, four years of which were war, and six years peace, the increase in population was only 36,617—the population in 1870 being 827,922; but still between 1850 and 1860, was over 13 per

census of that year was \$209,197,345, being a loss in wealth in that decade of over \$300,000,000, or about 60 per cent. These estimates are based on census returns, not on assessments.

But as the object of this paper is to show what we have lost by Radical misgovernment, it will be as well to commence on a new basis of valuation, just after the war ended, and when its ravages had ceased, making no other use of the ante bellum statistics than to show the rate at which our people created wealth in time of peace, under a fair and just government. This rate of increase, as is above shown, was over 12 per cent. per annum.

There is no civilized people, certainly there is no part of the United States, not cursed by carpet-bag rule, that does not increase their wealth yearly. The increase in Mississippi between 1850 and 1860 of 12 per cent. per annum is not exceptionally high, but is below the aver-The increase in Indiana between 1850 and 1860 was 16 per cent; between 1860 and 1870 it was 14 per cent. per annum. In New Jersey, between 1850 and 1860, it was 1945 per cent.; a year,-between 1860 and 1870, it was 9 per cent. In Massachusetts, between 1850 and 1860, it was  $4\frac{1}{2}$  per cent.; between 1860 and 1870, it was 19 per cent. a year. In Tennessee, between 1850 and 1860, it was 14 per cent. per annum; and between 1860 and 1870 there was even then a small increase. In Connecticut, between 1850 and 1860, the annual increase was 19 per cent.; between 1860 and 1870, it was 7 3.5 per cent. In Illinois the annual increase, between 1850 and 1860, was 50 per cent.; between 1860 and 1870 it was 14 per cent. In Georgia, between 1850 and 1860, it was 9 per cent. per annum. In New York, between 1850 and 1860 it was 7 per cent. each year; between 1860 and 1870 it was 54 per cent. a year. In Alabama, between 1850 and 1860, it was about 11 per cent. In the whole of the United States, the increase in wealth, there was an increase. The total wealth cent. per annum; between 1860 and

1870, notwithstanding the great losses sustained by the Southern States from the war, it was nearly 9 per cent. So it appears that the property in Mississippi, under good government, increased between 1850 and 1860, in about the same ratio, as the average of the whole Union.

But to remove all cavil, we will say, that the increase would, under a fair government in time of peace, be 10 per cent. per annum, and make our calcula-

tion accordingly.

Commencing, as before stated, on the basis of the assessment of 1866, the year after the war closed, we will see how the. case stands. Have we lost or gained by these nine years of labor and toil? 1866, the land assessment, excluding school land, amounted to \$115,321,299. There was no assessment of all personalty till 1870, and none accessible to me till 1871. Though the years subsequent to 1871 show a steady decrease in the value of personalty, I will assume there was no decrease before that time, and that the personalty in 1866 was worth as much as it was in 1871, viz: \$45,829,908. This added to the realty, makes \$161,-151,207, as the total wealth of the State in 1866.

In 1871 the total assessment of realty and personalty was \$150,000,000, showing a loss in five years of \$11,151,207, or about \$2,000,000 for each year. These were years of peace, and the price of cotton, during this entire period, was double its ante bellum value, when our increase of wealth was twelve per cent per annum. There is no reason, but bad government, and high taxes, why this increase should not have been kept up. But put the increase at 10 per cent., and the wealth of the State should have been in 1871, \$241,726,807, instead of what it was, viz: \$150,000,000; showing a loss, by bad government, of \$91,726,-807, in five years, or over \$18,000,000 a

The assessment of realty in 1871, was \$104,136,291, and there was no new assessment till 1875, and so we cannot show the annual decrease in the value of realty, but we can take the four years together.

The assessment rolls for the year 1875 have not all been returned; but we have

the rolls of fifty counties, situated in all parts of the State, and the returns from these counties show a decrease of 18 per cent. in the land assessment for four years. The same rate of decrease in the land assessment in the remaining counties would make the land assessment amount this year (1875) to \$85,401,759, or a loss in four years of \$18,734,759. Add to this the loss in land assessment between 1866 and 1871, as follows:

Assessment of 1866......\$115,321,299 Assessment of 1871...... 104,136,291

\$ 11,185,008

makes a total loss in land value of \$29,-919,540 in four years.

The loss in value of personalty is still more marked, and as the assessment was made each year after 1871, we can show the annual decrease, and that it was steady and never varying:

In 1875, we have assessment rolls of personalty for forty-four counties, showing a total loss in these counties of \$6,838,565, or 24 per cent., making assessments in all about \$33,721,249. Loss in one year \$10,661,973, or a total loss in four years of \$12,108,659; over one-fourth of our personal wealth in four years.

Add now the total loss of land and

personalty together:

Land......\$29,919.540 Personalty.......12,108,659

Making grand total......\$42,028,199

But this is not the real loss, great as it is. We have lost all we have made in these nine years, in addition. This, a before shown, would have been underngood government, 12 per cent. per annum, but we will put it at 10 per cent., so as to be perfectly safe. This, as wealth would be as follows:

Land assessment in 1866, \$115,321,-

299.

Personal assessment in 1866, asaumed

to be as great as in 1871,—\$45,829,908. Total wealth in 1866—\$161,151,207.

Add 90 per cent., or 10 per cent. for each of nine years, for increase, and we have \$145,036,086, for the increase—making what our wealth should be in 1875 \$306,187.293. Instead of this it is only as follows:

Land assessment in 1875—\$85,401,-759.

Personalty—\$33,721,249. Total—\$119,123,008.

Which deducted from what it should be, as shown above, leaves \$187,069,-285, representing the cost in money to the people of the State, of Radical misrule, besides the enormous taxation

which we have paid.

The ten years which have elapsed since the war, though in time of profound peace, have been more devastating to the people than war. The United States, as a whole, increased their wealth nine per cent. annually, during the last decade, yet we have constantly retrograded.

France recovered all her lost wealth in five years, after the most devastating of all wars. We, at the end of ten years,

are as if war had never ceased.

Lord Macaulay, (History of England, Vol. 1, Chap. 3,) in contemplating and commenting on the improved condition of England after long years of misrule and intestine commotions, makes use of

the following language:

"In every experimental science there is a tendency toward perfection. ery human being there is a wish to ameliorate his own condition. These two principles have often sufficed, even when counteracted by great public calamities, and by bad institutions, to carry civilization rapidly forward. No ordinary mistortune, no ordinary misgovernment, will do so much to make a nation wretched, as the constant progress of physical knowledge, and the constant effort of every man to better his condition, will It has do to make a nation prosperous. often been found that profuse expenditure, heavy taxation, absurd commercial restrictions, corrupt tribunals, disastrous wars, seditions, persecutions, conflagrations and inundations, have not been able to destroy capital as fast as the exer-

tions of private citizens have been able to create it."

He further says that the national wealth of England, "in spite of battles, sieges, and confiscations, was greater on the day of the Restoration, than on the day when the Long Parliament met; that in spite of maladministration, of extravagance, of public bankruptcy, of two costly and unsuccessful wars, of pestilence and fire, it was greater on the day of the death of Charles the Second, than on the day of his Restoration." This is the great lesson taught by history.constant progress in wealth and civilization over all ordinary obstacles. England and all other States have triumphed over all obstacles in their march to wealth. Mississippi, in time of profound peace, without battles, sieges, confiscations, without conflagrations, inundations, pestilence or fire, and with only maladministration and wasteful extravagance, has been constantly retrograding.

But a still more marked evidence of our decay will be found in the number of acres of land forfeited for taxes. In 1866 the value of land forfeited for taxes was \$607,632, being about one-half of one per cent. on the value of land in the State. In 1875 the number of acres forfeited is about 6,500,000, or over 27 per cent. of the value of all the land in the State. In 6,500,000 acres there is a little over 10,000 square miles, more than one-fifth of the total area of the State, or about fourteen average counties.

We have become so used here to see evidences of decay and destruction of wealth, that we do not readily take in the full import of these enormous figures.

The land forfeited in Mississippi for taxes, and which was once private property, constitutes a larger area, by two thousand square miles, than the States of Connecticut, Rhode Island and Delaware combined; larger than Massachusetts and Delaware combined; larger by near 1,700 square miles than New Jersey; larger than New Hampshire, and nearly as large as Maryland.

Under a good government all these lands would be held by private owners,

and would be supporting a thriving

population.

This year there will be a large increase in the area of land forfeited. The taxes which ought to be collected from these lands must be collected from the remainder. Thus every year the taxes will be increased, and there will be less and less property to pay them. Can we stand this? Is Mississippi alone, of all the United States, to remain under this bondage to Radical misrule and corruption? We have a fair chance to relieve ourselves now. If we let the opportunity pass, another may never come.

J. Z. GEORGE, Chairman.

The Appointment of Election Supervisors.

HEADQUARTERS EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE,

DEMOCRATIC-CONSERVATIVE PARTY

OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI.

Jackson, Miss., Oct. 8, 1875.

To the Democrats and Conservatives of Mississippi:

A recent notice from Judge R. A. Hill, tn reference to the appointment of Supervisors of Election, renders it necessary for me to give you some instructions in reference to that matter.

By an Act of Congress (Revision of 1875, Title xxvi), any ten citizens residing in a county in a Congressional District may apply to the United States Judge for the appointment of supervisors of any Congressional election.

I suppose that an application will be made to Judge Hill for the appointment of such supervisors in each Congressional District. The law requires that two supervisors shall be appointed (when proper application is made) at each election precinct. They are required to be of different political parties, and able to read and write the English language, and residents of the county. I have no doubt Judge Hill desires to appoint

proper persons in all cases, when he may be called upon to act, and as he must necessarily rely upon the advice of others as to who shall be appointed, it will become necessary for the Democrats and Conservatives to take such action as will secure the placing before Judge Hill of the names of suitable persons to be appointed.

I know of no better way to accomplish this end than for the Chairman of the Democratic and Conservative Club, at the county seat of each county, to forward to me at once the names of at least two (2) intelligent and responsible citizens of his county, for each precinct therein, belonging to the Democratic and Conservative party, from whom the judge may select one for each precinct. That is, where there are (5) five precincts in a county, let the names of at least ten (10) Conservatives and Democrats be at once forwarded to me, two from each precinct, so that (5) five of the number may be selected.

If the Chairman of the Democratic and Conservative Committee can agree with the Chairman of the Republican Committee upon the proper number for each county, one for each party, for each precinct, it would be preferable. If that be done, let the names so agreed on be forwarded, indicating which party each belongs to.

In forwarding names, the precinct at which each is desired to be appointed should be indicated.

I ask for prompt action in this matter, and that the names of no one will be recommended or forwarded who is not in every respect a trustworthy citizen.

> J. Z. GEORGE, Chrm. D. and C. Ex. Com.

#### CHECULAR.

HEADQUARTERS EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, DEMOCRATIC-CONSERVATIVE PARTY OF THE STATE OF MISSISSIPPI JACKSON, MISS., OCT. 8, 1875.

I have received so many inquiries in relation to naturalization that it is deemed best to answer all in this circular.

Any alien may be naturalized in the

following manner:

1. He shall have first declared his intention to become a citizen, in due form, before a Circuit or District Court of the United States, or a Court of record of a State having common law jurisdiction. If this has been done two years, the alien may then apply for naturalization. however, the alien was a minor when he arrived in the United States, and has resided here for three years before his majority, he may be naturalized without having declared his intention as aforesaid, two years before application. He may make the declaration when he is naturalized-but he still must have resided before naturalization, five years in the United States. And any alien over twenty-one years of age may be naturalized who has enlisted in the U. S. Army, and has been honorably discharged and has been a resident of the United States one year.

2. Before naturalization, except in case of a discharged soldier, the alien must have resided in the United States five years, and in the State where he is

naturalized, one year.

3. The applicant for naturalization in Mississippi, must go before a Circuit Court of the State (not the Chancery,) and in term time be naturalized. He need not go to the Circuit Court of the county where he resides, but to any Circuit Court in the State.

4. When he goes to the Court to be naturalized, he must show to the Court:

1st—That he has declared his intention to become a citizen at least two years before (except in cases of minors and discharged soldiers, as before explained). This can be done by producing the certificate given at the time by the officer before whom the declaration was made.

and if it has been lost, he can prove by his own oath its loss, or destruction.

2d—He must show to the Court that he has resided in the United States at least five years, and in the State one year, and that during that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same.

But in no case can the residence required be proven by the oath of the ap-

plicant.

3d—The children of aliens who have been duly naturalized are citizens, by the act of naturalizing the father; and if an alien has declared his intention to become a citizen, and has died before naturalization, his widow and children may become citizens by taking the naturalizing oath.

The oath required for naturalization is

as follows:

"I solemnly swear that I will support the Constitution of the United States, and that I absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance to every foreign prince, potentate, state or sovereignty, and particularly to ——, (Prince or King, or Empress of ——, naming the country of which the applicant is a native), of whom I was before a subject."

When all this is done, the proceedings should be entered on the record, and the Court should enter up a judgment, declaring that the applicant is thereby, having complied with the law, admitted to the rights and privileges and immunities of a citizen of the United States, and adjudged and declared to be a citizen of the same.

J. Z. GEORGE.

THE election day is approaching rapidly. You have no time to lose. Let every Democrat do his whole duty from now until the election.

If there is a Democrat who is not doing his duty, let him reflect upon the infamies and oppressions that will come to us all from two more years of Radical rule.

#### E CLARION.]

## OR THE CANVASS.

#### The Radical Party.—Its Extravagance and Violated Pledges.

The Convention of August, 1873, which nominated Ames for Governor, promised to reform the abuses which it had practiced, and registered a solemn pledge to "retrench expenditures" and practice "rigid economy." We can best see how that pledge has been redeemed, by refering to the official reports:

#### [DOCUMENT B ]

Disbursements for fiscal year 1874, from the 1st day of January, 1874 to the 31st day of December, 1874, inclusive by warrant.

Legislative	\$133,519 91
Judiciary	
Executive	. 54.909 50
Appropriations	15,376 03
State Library	3,447 03
Commissions for assessing	29.388 13
Penitentiary	. 162.712 84
Public printing,	75 990 98
Conital arrangement	. 75,238 36
Capitol expenses	. 600 00
Capitol repairs	. 3.600 00
University of Mississippi	. 50.000 00
Recording tax titles	. 22 75
Common School Fund	20,266 62
Lunatic Asylum	. 93.750 00
Executive contingent Fund	. 10,262 53
Auditor's Contingent Fund	
Chickasaw School Fund interest	
Deaf and Dumb Asylum	
Institution for the Blind	. 10,000 00
Alcorn University	50,000 00
Comissioner of Immigration and	. 30,000 00 J
A griguiture	9 533 32
Agriculture	. 9 9 9 9 9 2
Expense and postage	
To the foregoing are add	lad in the

To the foregoing are added in the report a series of other items, the whole aggregating the enormous sum of ONE MILLION THREE HUNDRED AND NINETEEN THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND EIGHTEEN DOLLARS.

By the foregoing it will be seen that for 1874, the expenditures on account of the Legislative department were 133,-519 91.—Now compare this item with the expenses of the Legislature on former years:

1850 (v	vhen	an	extra	session	Was
held).					\$63,516
1854					43,135
1857					32,766
				session	
held).					56.901

An average of \$50,000. But these sessions were held biennially. Therefore the annual average was \$25,000 against \$132,000 under Radical rule. The reader will be curious to know how all this money, on account of the Legislative department in 1874, was made way with. Here are the items as set forth in the official report above quoted:

Statement of Expenditures on account Legislative Department for year 1874.

	islative Departmen	t for y	ear	15/4.	
	Per diem of members of Legislature \$ Mileage of members of Legislature		60		
				3102,568	60
١	Per diem of clerks\$	13.847	00		
	Perdiem of postmasters	628	00		
	Per diem of sergeant-				
	at-arms and assist's.	1,709	00		
U	Per diem of door keep-	-,	•		
Î	ers	1,202	00		
	Per diem of pages	2,484			
	Per diem of messengers	756			
	Per diem of porters	2.712			
	Per diem of clo-et-	20, 8 1 2	00		
	keepers	546	00		
	Reepers	940	00	23,844	00
ľ				40,044	UU
			ø	126,412	60
	Tackson Can Light			120,412	00
Ü	Jackson Gas Light				
1	Company, for gas,			1.050	00
	coal and light-wood.			1,056	98
4	APPROPRIATIONS.				
	Geo. B. Pease, sergeant				
	at-arms of the House				
	of Representatives,				
۱	for contingentex pen-				
	ses, Act approved				
	March 19, 1874	3,000	00		
	W D D.derand one				

approved April 6,1874 L. Tuttle, jr., sergenutat-arms. House Representatives, special session 1873, for contingent expenses. Act approved November 2.274 56

470 77

1, 1873 Shackelford investigat-277 00 ing committee..... 28 00

6 050 33

\$133,519 91

It is said that the corruption at Washington is so reeking that "the man in the moon holds his nose when he goes over A similar effect is produced by looking over the above items. "Per diem of closet keepers" for the menagerie \$546,00 for example.

Under honest rule the whole clerical service of the Legislature was performed for \$2,800-\$1,600 for the Clerk of the House, and \$1,200 for the Secretary of the Senate. Now the cost of this service is \$13,847. Until Radical rule began the whole cost of sergeants-at-arms, doorkeepers, pages, etc., was \$600 per session. Now it is \$8,000 -to say nothing of the sweet smelling item above mentioned.

For the Judiciary department in 1874, \$300,855 were expended. Now compare this with a series of years prior to the war:

1851																					74.440
1855 .			i					i		•	i	i	•			٠			•	•	00 597
1857			ı		i		i	i	Ī	Ī	i	i		i			•	•	٠	٠,	14 984

The highest expenditure for the judiciary department during any year under citizens' rule was in 1859, and then the amount was only \$147,105. The contrast in the cost of the Executive Department, is still more striking-as follows:

					TOITO WS .
S52					\$ Q QXS
854					0,000
858					8,008
858 And from	165 ±	C 11.	*** 200	· · · · · · ·	11,226
Worl	00 (	O MAR	y : '00	(siter i	he
war)					10,000

Under the first year of Ames, the expenditures on account of the Executive Department as seen above, amounted to \$54,999. These are but examples of the wholesale system of public plundering which has been carried on under the regime of the carpet-bagger; and their contrast with the rule of honesty and economy.

### Pardoned Convict Vote or Reg.

Judge E. S. Fisher has recently asded this question in a case where a negro in DeSoto county was refused registry because he had been convicted for grand larceny, although pardoned before the end of his sentence. He applied for a writ of mandamus before Judge Fisher. Regarding the Judge's opinion, the Hernando Press and Times says:

"Judge Fisher delivered an oral opinion, in which, after reciting the provisions of the constitution, and of the several statutes on the subject, by the terms of which disfranchisement is made the consequence of conviction of a penitentiary offence, he considered the effect of a pardon in restoring eligibility. He held that while at common law a pardon operated as a complete defence, and a restoration of the convict to all his common law rights, yet that it could not have the effect of giving to a party a right expressly denied to him by statute. That the statutes of the States having expressly declared that no convicted person could register, it was not within the power of the Governor to render this statute nugatory by the exercise of the pardoning power. In other words, that the absence of conviction was one of the essential qualifications of an elector in this State, which could not be supplied by any act of the Executive.

This important decision settles the question of the right to vote of quite a number of active Radical politicians in this county.

THERE is no help but that we find within ourselves. We can get our rights if we put our shoulders to the wheel. Let the men of Mississippi do their duty. Every man is expected to stand to his post. Indifference, lukewarmness, halfheartedness constitute no force and produce no fruits. Be active, vigilant, unfaltering, in this crisis of the battle. Take no step backward. The prize is within reach. Onward!